IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.36192 of 2008
RAMASHISH SHARMA
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR

5 19.12.2008 Heard.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case instituted under section 392 of Indian Penal Code.

In this case, case diary had been called for which has been received and a perusal of the material available in the case diary do indicate complicity of the petitioner. Apart from confessional statement, the petitioner has been named in a lot of cases set out in paragraph no. 70 of the case diary.

This Court perused the averments made in paragraph no. 70 and from perusal whereof, it appears that as many as 7 cases of different nature including sections 392, 395 and 386, in which the petitioner is facing prosecution.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 30.4.2008.

Having regard to the facts appearing from the record particularly criminal antecedent of the petitioner, this Court, for the present, is not inclined to admit the petitioner to bail

His application for bail stands rejected.

However, this Court observes that if the trial of the present case is not taken up within six months from the date of receipt of the order in the Court below, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

pkj **(Kishore K. Mandal, J)**

WEB COPY
NOT OFFICIAL